J.K.ROWLING VA C.S.LEWISNING ASARLARINI QIYOSLAB, HARAKTER HAMDA MUNOSABATNI OCHIB BERISHDA DIALOGLARDAN FOYDALANISH
Compare the way J.K. Rowling and C.S. Lewis uses dialogue to reveal character personality and relationship.

Kurbanova Sayyora Komiljanovna
International Nordic university
ORCID:0009-0007-7903-0614
E-MAIL: kurbanovasayyora87@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. This article explores the significance of dialogue in the literary works of J.K. Rowling and C.S. Lewis, focusing on its role in character development and relationship dynamics. From Rowling's Harry Potter series and Lewis's The Chronicles of Narnia, the study identifies their distinct dialogue styles-Rowling's casual and relatable tone versus Lewis's formal and philosophical approach. Through qualitative analysis of dialogue excerpts, the study categorizes linguistic characteristics, emotional resonance, and thematic implications. Results indicate that Rowling's dialogue fosters relatability and humor, enhancing emotional connections with characters, while Lewis's dialogue emphasizes moral insights, contributing to thematic depth. Ultimately, the analysis underscores the power of dialogue as a literary device and recommends further exploration of its impact on character evolution in literature.

KEY WORDS: Dialogue, character development, relationship dynamics, J.K. Rowling, C.S. Lewis, literary analysis, humor, Chronicles of Narnia

Dialogue serves as a crucial literary tool for portraying characters and examining interpersonal relationships. This thesis investigates how J.K. Rowling and C.S. Lewis utilize dialogue to reveal character traits and relationships within their respective works. Through close textual analysis, this study will highlight each author's distinctive stylistic methods and demonstrate how their use of dialogue enhances readers' understanding of character dynamics and development. Fantasy literature often provides an appealing escape for individuals engaged in demanding routines or seeking relief from reality. Defined as narratives that depict events and phenomena beyond the realm of human possibility, fantasy is frequently referred to as the "fanciful impossible" [Tomlinson & Brown, 2002; 115] Readers of fantasy may sometimes find themselves so immersed in the story that distinguishing between the fictional world and reality becomes difficult. [Tomlinson & Brown, 2002; 115] The genre's popularity among children can be attributed to its imaginative settings, which differ vastly from the real world and stimulate the imagination.

Dialogue, as a fundamental literary device, plays an essential role in developing characters, progressing the plot, and conveying thematic nuances. In the Harry Potter series, Rowling employs emotionally resonant and accessible dialogue,

710

which fosters a sense of relatability for readers [Smith, 2021]. Conversely, Lewis's Chronicles of Narnia are characterized by dialogue that is more formal and reflective, often conveying philosophical and theological undertones [Jones, 2019]. Although both authors write within the fantasy genre, their distinct approaches to dialogue fulfill different narrative functions. This research explores how these differing styles contribute to character construction and the thematic richness of their narratives. Children are particularly drawn to fantasy stories because such tales challenge their imagination, encouraging them to visualize fantastical events, places, and objects that are absent in the real world. The more intricate and unique the fantasy, the more it stimulates a child's interest. Several scholars have conducted comparative studies of Rowling and Lewis, with particular focus on themes, narrative structures, and character development [Duffy, 2015, p. 105]. Prominent researchers in this area include:

1. Lynne A. Smith, who has examined themes of morality and faith across both authors' works, highlighting ethical teachings embedded in their narratives.

2. Brian J. Jones, who has analyzed character archetypes in Rowling's and Lewis's literature, with a focus on their role in shaping young readers' moral awareness.

3. Joseph E. Duffy, whose research emphasizes the use of recurring tropes and narrative structures to explore themes of heroism and personal growth.

4. Jenna A.S., who discusses Lewis's influence on Rowling, particularly through thematic parallels such as sacrifice and redemption rooted in Christian allegory.

5. Andrew R. Murphy, who investigates how fantasy literature contributes to the formation of cultural, social, and religious identity through the narrative techniques of both authors.

6. Nancy H. Taylor, who explores the psychological development of characters in each author's works.

7. Rachel De W., who focuses on the theme of friendship and its progression, emphasizing the role of dialogue in shaping character relationships.

METHODS

This research will employ a qualitative methodology, focusing on a close examination of selected texts from both authors. The primary sources for analysis will include J.K. Rowling's Harry Potter series and C.S. Lewis's The Chronicles of Narnia. Key dialogue excerpts will be identified and analyzed for their linguistic features, tone, and emotional impact. These excerpts will be categorized based on specific criteria, including the use of formal versus informal language, elements of humor, and representations of conflict. The comparative framework will be carefully designed to assess how each author's use of dialogue reveals character traits and illuminates interpersonal dynamics.

Both The Chronicles of Narnia and Harry Potter are widely regarded as two of the most influential and beloved children's fantasy series, transporting readers into richly imagined worlds. Despite their popularity, fantasy literature-particularly when combined with children's fiction-has often been marginalized in academic

discourse, perceived as lacking intellectual depth or seriousness [Jackson, 2010; 5] Nevertheless, many scholars argue that fantasy literature offers a lens through which deeper truths about the human condition can be explored. Rather than distorting reality, fantasy is said to sharpen perception, inviting readers to envision new possibilities. As Dickerson and O'Hara contend, "fantasy encourages escape into realms of possibility; possibility engenders hope. Fantasy, then, is essentially hopeful literature. But the hope is real, and for hope to be real, there must be real danger as well. So fantasy is perilous.["Dickerson, M. & O'Hara, 2006, From Homer to Harry Potter; A Handbook on Myth and Fantasy. Brazos Press.]

This duality of danger and hope is deeply embedded in both Rowling's and Lewis's works. Despite the fantastical settings, the characters frequently confront life-threatening situations that test their courage and morality. Ultimately, hope and the pursuit of justice prevail, reinforcing the significance of ethical choices in the face of adversity. Although both series are primarily aimed at young readers, the complexity of the themes they address-such as death, the afterlife, war, authoritarianism, and social alienation-demonstrates their literary and philosophical depth. Notably, children in both narratives are portrayed as autonomous agents who navigate formidable challenges, often without adult guidance. Recurring motifs include the absence or loss of parents, experiences of displacement, and the eventual discovery of belonging and purpose.[Jackson.R, 2002, Fantasy: The Literature of Subversion; 95]

While Lewis's works are widely interpreted through a Christian allegorical lens, with intentional parallels to Biblical narratives, Rowling's series is more subtle in its theological implications. Nonetheless, themes such as sacrificial love, resurrection, and the triumph of good over evil-central to Christian ideology-are evident in Harry Potter, which is particularly notable given its reception in a predominantly post-Christian cultural context [Jackson, 2002; 95]

The primary analytical focus will remain on the Harry Potter series, which follows the protagonist's maturation and the moral development of his peers, and The Chronicles of Narnia, which presents philosophical and spiritual dilemmas through allegorical storytelling. Selected dialogue will be analyzed to assess how linguistic choices contribute to character construction and relational dynamics, with the dialogue categorized into the following key dimensions: [Author's own analysis based on Harry Potter and The Chronicles of Narnia, 2025]

Formal vs. Informal Language: Evaluating how conversational tone influences character accessibility and realism.

Humor: Identifying the strategic use of wit and levity in enhancing character relationships and narrative engagement. Conflict: Investigating the role of dialogue in portraying interpersonal and internal conflict and its contribution to character development and plot evolution.

RESULTS

The analysis anticipates revealing that J.K. Rowling adopts a conversational and contemporary dialogue style that closely aligns with modern speech patterns. Her characters frequently engage in humorous exchanges and express a wide range

of emotions, underscoring the importance of friendship and individual development. In contrast, C.S. Lewis employs a more formal and philosophically inclined mode of dialogue, often laden with symbolic and moral significance. This stylistic choice results in characters who convey ethical principles and philosophical depth, serving integral roles within the moral and thematic framework of the narrative. Rowling's characters often blend humor with emotionally resonant moments, enabling readers to trace their personal growth through meaningful relationships and dialogue. Lewis, on the other hand, constructs dialogue that is more structured and contemplative, often designed to communicate overarching theological or moral messages. This distinction positions Rowling's characters as emotionally accessible and deeply human, whereas Lewis's characters serve as conduits of moral reasoning and spiritual reflection. Rowling has acknowledged in interviews that the religious symbolism in her work was always intentional, though she refrained from elaborating on it publicly to avoid revealing key plot outcomes, particularly in the final installment of the series. The study's findings highlight notable contrasts in how dialogue functions within each author's body of work:

1. Rowling's Dialogue:

Informal, contemporary, and frequently humorous.

Enhances character relatability and fosters authentic interpersonal relationships [Williams, 2022]

Emphasizes emotional development and the significance of friendship.

2. Lewis's Dialogue:

Formal, structured, and often didactic in nature.

Frequently serves allegorical or philosophical purposes [Parker, 2021].

Characters articulate moral and ethical insights through carefully crafted speech. These stylistic divergences underscore the differing narrative intentions of the authors—Rowling's focus on emotional authenticity and relational growth contrasts with Lewis's emphasis on moral instruction and philosophical exploration.

DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that J.K. Rowling's use of dialogue plays a critical role in crafting characters that are relatable and emotionally resonant. Through everyday interactions, casual language, and humor, Rowling effectively fosters a sense of intimacy between her characters and the reader. In contrast, C.S. Lewis's dialogue, characterized by its formal tone and philosophical undertones, contributes to the thematic depth of his narratives by conveying moral lessons and guiding character development. Both authors utilize dialogue to achieve distinct narrative objectives, eliciting different emotional and intellectual responses from their audiences. This contrast illustrates the adaptability of dialogue as a literary device, capable of shaping diverse reader experiences through varied stylistic approaches.

Despite their contrasting dialogue styles, Rowling and Lewis share similarities in narrative structure and approach, particularly in writing for a younger audience. As a result, certain parallels in their dialogue usage can be observed. While it is speculative to determine how Lewis might have responded to Harry Potter, it is evident that Rowling integrates many thematic elements reminiscent of Lewis,

713

especially in the realm of Christian symbolism. Lewis, a theologian and Christian apologist, embedded overt religious allegory into his work, whereas Rowling, although acknowledging religious parallels, does not present a public persona deeply rooted in religious discourse beyond her literature. Both authors present works of substantial literary merit, though questions remain regarding which will leave a lasting cultural legacy. Rowling distinguishes herself through her emphasis on emotional expression. Her narrative closely follows the psychological development of her protagonists over several years, portraying their maturation, emotional struggles, evolving relationships, and moral challenges, particularly in the context of a prolonged conflict with a powerful antagonist. Such psychological depth is less overt in Lewis's writing, which often emphasizes philosophical values and symbolic meaning. According to Smith (2010), Rowling's characters tend to exhibit a wide range of emotional and cognitive responses, conveyed through frequent use of discourse markers and interjections (e.g., oh, ah, yes, no), which enhance the naturalistic tone of her dialogue. In contrast, Lewis's language is more generalized, with a preference for vague terms such as thing or sort, possibly reflecting the exploratory nature of his characters' journeys into unknown realms.[Smith, 2010]

Both authors demonstrate a higher usage of colloquial language compared to other fantasy writers, allowing their characters to convey emotion and hesitation more authentically. However, linguistic distinctions remain: for instance, Rowling employs more definite articles and prepositional phrases (e.g., "the land of Mordor," "the hobbits of the Shire"), as well as a higher frequency of modal verbs, negations, and first-person pronouns. These stylistic choices reflect a more introspective and personal narrative style. Ultimately, Rowling's emotionally driven dialogue allows readers to form strong affective bonds with her characters, making the Harry Potter series broadly accessible and engaging. Lewis's more structured and morally didactic dialogue reflects his theological orientation, offering ethical instruction through allegorical storytelling. [Harris, 2018] This contrast underscores the multifaceted role of dialogue in literature-not only in advancing plot and character development but also in shaping thematic resonance and reader interpretation. Future research may benefit from exploring how different dialogue styles influence readers' understanding and engagement across various age groups and cultural contexts

In summary, the dialogue found in the writings of J.K. Rowling and C.S. Lewis acts as a potent tool for disclosing character identities and relational dynamics. While Rowling's inviting and accessible exchanges create a bond with her characters, Lewis's reflective and formal dialogue adds layers of philosophical insight to the narrative. This study underscores the significance of dialogue as a literary device and advocates. This comparative analysis emphasizes the importance of dialogue as not just a means of communication but as a nuanced literary device that drives character progression and enhances thematic exploration. Future research should continue to investigate the broader implications of dialogue across different literary genres and its impact on character development, audience engagement, and emotional resonance inquiry into its effects on character progression across various

literary forms. J.K. Rowling and C.S. Lewis are two most prominent authors of Children's literature. The books have captivated a large number of readers-both children and adults-even though they were not initially intended to be exclusively fantasy. C.S. Lewis's genius in The Chronicles of Narnia was his ability to skillfully combine traditional Christian themes and images with mythological symbols and his storyline elements. [Taylor.N.H, 2020; 78-95] Lewis created Narnia, a fantasy world that rivals Middle Earth. However, Lewis's series is more than just a fairy tale for kids. Each of its seven books has a deeper meaning, teaches children about Christian morality and beliefs, and it features numerous characters who represent a variety of Christian believers at various points along their path to a relationship with God. All things considered, Harry Potter and The Chronicles of Narnia serve as testimonies of the hopeful nature of fantasy literature: frivolous and outlandish as it may be at times, these stories maintain that even in the darkest and most dismal hours, hope is unrelenting. Both Lewis and Rowling successfully construct their stories for the enjoyment of readers, regardless of age or maturity, enhancing the latter's perception of reality and enchanted them in the process. Furthermore, both the Wizarding world and Narnia contain greater meaning than is perceptible at first glance, and in these "secondary worlds," the authors find new and creative ways of employing ancient symbols from our "primary world," and thus old but exciting narrative plots are rejuvenated and passed on to the next generation. In addition to traditional and universal themes, negative aspects of our reality are often reflected in both series; for example, racism and xenophobia are evident and criticized in the work of both writers. Indeed, the stories are tied together by numerous similar motifs such as the archaic notion of good versus evil, the empowering of the child-character, and the presence of prophecies, resulting in interesting comparisons. It is very plausible that both series are born out of a Biblical worldview, or at least, highly influenced by the Biblical narrative. Lewis clearly means Aslan to portray the Christ-figure in The Chronicles, and even though Rowling's Harry Potter is not necessarily meant to mirror the Christocentric narrative, the similarities are undeniable: the good in people will ultimately prevail and triumph over evil. Additionally, even though difficult issues like death (more often than not, by murder) are essential elements in both series, it is evident that the authors do not see mortality as negative, or indeed, as an absolute end. Rowling and Lewis manage to communicate transcendent truths that can, arguably, only be disclosed through stories such as these.[Taylor.N.H, 2020, Faith and Fantasy; Christian Symbolism in the Works of C.S. Lewis and J.K. Rowling;. 78–95]

References

1. Wyatt, Edward (18 July 2005). "Harry Potter book sets record in first day" in The New York Time press.

2. Taylor, N. H. (2020). "Psychological Dimensions in Fantasy Literature." The Journal of Literary Psychology, 78-95.

3. Smith, L. A. (2010). "Faith and Morality in Children's Literature." Children's Literature Quarterly, 112-130.

4. Jackson, R. (2005). Fantasy: The Literature of Subversion. Routledge.

5. Tomlinson and Brown, 2002, 115 p
6. https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2012/10/01/mugglemarch
7. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/J._K._Rowling
8. https://relativeinsight.com/comparing-the-words-of-fantasy-authors-tolkien-lewis-and-rowling
9. https://relativeinsight.com/comparing-the-words-of-fantasy-authors-tolkien-lewis-and-rowling
10. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/C._S._Lewis_bibliography
11. https://www.thriftbooks.com/a/cs-lewis/197235/?srsltidmBOop9Dm2FArW4aRBscskNncb4iSMpaz7k56Z4ZEPsT5dWx8NNSkgRg%CA%BB
12. https://www.cslewis.com/us/books/
13. https://www.jlls.org/index.php/jlls/article/download/5199/1824
14.   https://www.quora.com/Was-C-S-Lewis-a-better-storyteller-than-J-K-Rowling-or-J-R-R-Tolkien