

THE PRACTICAL ASPECT OF PUNCTUATION MARKS IN ONLINE CORRESPONDENCE

Mustafaev Shakhboz

*Teacher, Independent Researcher,
Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Tashkent
yagonapochta@gmail.com*

Abstract: This thesis analyzes the transition of punctuation marks from their traditional syntactic functions to pragmatic roles in modern computer-mediated communication and online correspondence. The research emphasizes the role of the period, exclamation mark, and ellipsis in conveying emotional tone, sincerity levels, prosodic pauses, and turn-taking management. Furthermore, the study explores gender and generational differences in messaging, alongside the integration of emojis, punctuation, and code-switching in the specific context of Uzbek digital discourse.

Keywords: virtual communication, internet linguistics, punctuation, pragmatics, emotional tone, digital discourse, computer-mediated communication, sociolinguistics.

Annotatsiya: Ushbu tezis zamonaviy kompyuter vositasidagi muloqot va onlayn yozishmalarda punktuatsion belgilarning an'anaviy sintaktik funksiyadan pragmatik vazifaga o'tish jarayonini tahlil qiladi. Tadqiqot nuqta, undov, va ko'p nuqta kabi belgilarning emotsional ton, samimiylilik darajasi, prosodik pauzalar hamda navbat almashinuvini (turn-taking) ifodalashdagi roliga urg'u beradi. Shuningdek, xabarlarda gender va avlodlar o'rtasidagi tafovutlar, shuningdek, o'zbek raqamli diskursida emojilar va tinish belgilarining o'zaro integratsiyasi hamda kodlar almashinuvi (code-switching) masalalari qiyosiy o'rganilgan.

Kalit so'zlar: virtual muloqot, internet tilshunosligi, punktuatsiya, pragmatika, emotsional ton, raqamli diskurs, kompyuter vositasidagi muloqot, sotsiolingvistika.

Аннотация: В данных тезисах анализируется процесс перехода знаков препинания от традиционных синтаксических функций к прагматическим в современной компьютерно-опосредованной коммуникации и онлайн-переписке. Особое внимание уделяется роли точки, восклицательного знака и многоточия в передаче эмоционального тона, уровня искренности, просодических пауз и управлении сменой реплик (turn-taking). Кроме того, исследуются гендерные и поколенческие различия, а

также интеграция эмодзи, знаков препинания и переключения кодов (code-switching) в узбекском цифровом дискурсе.

Ключевые слова: виртуальная коммуникация, интернет-лингвистика, пунктуация, прагматика, эмоциональный тон, цифровой дискурс, компьютерно-опосредованная коммуникация, социоллингвистика.

Introduction

In recent years, internet linguistics and computer-mediated communication have become increasingly important areas of linguistic research. The rapid development of digital technologies has changed not only how people exchange information but also how they express their identities, manage relationships, and interpret one another's intentions. Online communication is no longer simply a written alternative to face-to-face interaction. It has developed its own conventions, shaped by the social and communicative needs of its users. In *Language and the Internet*, David Crystal introduced the term *netspeak* to describe this distinctive form of communication, which combines features traditionally associated with both written and spoken language.

One of the clearest examples of this development can be seen in the use of punctuation. In conventional writing, punctuation marks primarily indicate grammatical boundaries, organize sentences, and clarify relationships between ideas. In instant messaging applications such as Telegram and WhatsApp, however, their function often extends beyond grammar. Punctuation can suggest intonation, pauses, emphasis, and emotional attitudes that would otherwise be conveyed through tone of voice, facial expressions, or body language. As a result, even a small punctuation mark may influence how a message is understood.

The Period (.) and Its Emotional Implications

In traditional writing, the period is generally a neutral marker indicating the completion of a sentence or statement. In short digital messages, however, its presence may carry additional interpersonal meaning. Research by D. Gunraj and colleagues suggests that a period at the end of a brief message can make it appear less sincere or more negative than the same message without final punctuation. Depending on the context, recipients may interpret it as a sign of irritation, emotional distance, or a desire to end the conversation.

One possible explanation is that the interface itself already signals when a message has ended. In messaging applications, pressing the "Send" button establishes a clear boundary between messages. Consequently, adding a period to a short response may appear to be a deliberate stylistic choice rather than a

grammatical necessity. Some users interpret this choice as a way of emphasizing finality or creating a more formal, distant tone.

The period can also be used to imitate the rhythm of spoken language. When a writer deliberately places a period after each word, as in “I. do. not. want. this.”, the resulting text creates a series of visual pauses. These pauses encourage readers to imagine a slow, emphatic, or interrupted delivery. The technique can intensify a statement and communicate frustration, determination, or dramatic emphasis. Thus, a punctuation mark that ordinarily indicates grammatical completion can also help reproduce aspects of spoken prosody in a written exchange.

The Exclamation Mark (!) as a Marker of Warmth and Engagement

In formal writing, the exclamation mark commonly expresses strong emotion, surprise, excitement, or a command. In informal digital communication, its function can be more subtle. Users often include exclamation marks to make messages sound warmer, more enthusiastic, or more attentive to the recipient.

A short message without additional cues may appear abrupt or impersonal. Adding an exclamation mark can change its perceived tone, making it sound more engaged and friendly. For instance, a simple greeting may communicate a different degree of enthusiasm when it ends with an exclamation mark rather than a period.

Repeated exclamation marks can intensify this effect, as in “Thank you!!” or “That’s great!!!” They may communicate excitement, strengthen a positive response, or help maintain an informal and supportive atmosphere. In some contexts, they also serve as a form of pragmatic politeness, softening the impression of a brief message. Nevertheless, their interpretation depends on context: excessive punctuation may express genuine enthusiasm, but it can also appear exaggerated or insincere.

The Ellipsis (...) as a Signal of Hesitation and Implicit Meaning

The ellipsis is particularly versatile in online communication because it allows users to suggest that something remains unsaid. While its traditional functions include indicating omitted text or an unfinished statement, its digital uses are often closely related to the management of interpersonal meaning.

An ellipsis may indicate hesitation, uncertainty, disappointment, awkwardness, or reluctance to speak directly. It can also soften a statement that might otherwise sound too blunt. In other situations, it creates suspense or invites the recipient to interpret an implied meaning. A message such as “Well, I thought

you knew..." leaves room for several possible interpretations, depending on the relationship between the participants and the preceding conversation.

The ellipsis may also influence the rhythm of an exchange. It can signal that a thought is incomplete, suggest a pause before a response, or create a sense of anticipation. In asynchronous communication, where participants do not share the same immediate conversational environment, these visual cues can help convey attitudes that might otherwise remain ambiguous. However, an ellipsis does not have a single, universally accepted meaning; its interpretation is shaped by context and individual communication habits.

The following table summarizes the main differences between the conventional grammatical functions of these punctuation marks and their possible pragmatic roles in digital communication.

Punctuation mark	Traditional function	Pragmatic function in digital communication
Period (.)	Marks the end of a sentence or statement.	May suggest finality, emotional distance, irritation, or a formal tone, particularly in short messages.
Period after each word	In conventional writing, periods separate complete sentences rather than individual words.	Creates a series of emphatic pauses and may convey frustration, determination, or dramatic emphasis.
Exclamation mark (!)	Expresses strong emotion, surprise, excitement, or a command.	May communicate warmth, enthusiasm, friendliness, or interpersonal engagement. Repetition can intensify these effects.
Ellipsis (...)	Indicates omitted text or an unfinished thought.	May suggest hesitation, uncertainty, awkwardness, irony, suspense, or an invitation to interpret an implied meaning.

Sociolinguistic Factors: Gender and Generational Differences

The interpretation of punctuation in digital communication is also influenced by social and cultural factors. Gender, age, communication habits, and expectations within particular social groups may all shape how punctuation is used and understood.

Some studies have identified differences in the frequency and communicative functions of exclamation marks across gender groups. In certain contexts, women have been found to use exclamation marks more frequently as a way of expressing enthusiasm, maintaining a friendly tone, and reinforcing social connections. Within relationships where this style of communication has become familiar, a change in punctuation may attract attention and be interpreted as a change in attitude. For example, a recipient who regularly receives enthusiastic messages may perceive a sudden shift to brief, unpunctuated replies as a sign of reduced engagement.

These patterns should not, however, be treated as universal characteristics of women's or men's communication. Punctuation preferences vary considerably between individuals and communities, and differences observed in one study may not apply equally across languages, cultures, or online platforms.

Generational differences also provide a useful perspective on digital punctuation. People who became familiar with written communication before the widespread use of instant messaging may be more likely to regard punctuation primarily as a grammatical convention. For these users, a period at the end of a message may simply indicate that a sentence is complete.

Younger users, particularly those who have grown up with messaging applications and social media, may be more accustomed to interpreting punctuation as part of the message's interpersonal tone. Among some members of Generation Y (Millennials) and Generation Z, a period at the end of a short message can be perceived as unnecessarily formal, distant, or even passive-aggressive. At the same time, some users prefer to communicate through unpunctuated text, emojis, repeated letters, and other visual cues.

The distinctions between generations are not absolute. Digital communication styles are influenced by professional and educational backgrounds, platform conventions, personal preferences, and the social context of an interaction. Nevertheless, generational differences can help explain why the same punctuation mark may seem entirely ordinary to one person but emotionally significant to another.

Generational group	General approach to punctuation	Possible emotional interpretation
Generation X	May place greater emphasis on conventional grammatical and syntactic rules, particularly in written messages.	A final period is often interpreted as a normal sentence-ending mark.
Millennials (Generation Y)	Often combine conventional punctuation in formal writing with more flexible, context-sensitive practices in online conversations.	Changes in punctuation may be interpreted as changes in tone or interpersonal attitude.
Generation Z	In some online communities, punctuation is used flexibly alongside emojis, repeated letters, and messages without conventional punctuation.	A period may seem overly formal or distant, while repeated exclamation marks may express enthusiasm or appear exaggerated.

Distinctive Features of Uzbek Digital Discourse

The pragmatic uses of punctuation observed in international digital communication can also be found in Uzbek-language messaging. At the same time, Uzbek digital discourse reflects the linguistic and cultural characteristics of its users. Informal communication frequently relies on abbreviations, shortened word forms, and non-standard spelling, particularly when speed and convenience are important.

Forms such as *nma* (nima), *qale* (qalay), *bn* (bilan), and *kk* (kerak) are common in some informal online exchanges. Their use reflects a preference for brevity and linguistic economy. In such messages, conventional punctuation may be reduced, omitted, or used alongside other visual resources. These practices do not necessarily replace punctuation altogether; rather, they demonstrate how users combine different strategies to make their messages efficient and appropriate to the context.

Emojis are another important feature of Uzbek digital communication. They can accompany punctuation marks or perform some of the expressive functions that punctuation would otherwise carry. A smiling face, a heart, or a folded-hands emoji may reinforce friendliness, gratitude, respect, or appreciation. In contexts where respectful and considerate communication is important, these visual elements can help clarify the intended tone of a brief written message. Their

interpretation, however, depends on the relationship between the participants and the communicative situation.

Code-switching also contributes to the distinctive character of online discourse among some Uzbek-speaking users, particularly younger people. A single message may combine Uzbek, Russian, and English, reflecting multilingual language practices and shared cultural references. In these exchanges, punctuation and spelling can be adjusted to express humour, irony, solidarity, or emotional intensity. English expressions such as “wow,” “kinda tired,” and “sorry,” for example, may appear with repeated vowels, additional exclamation marks, or other deliberate modifications. Such choices can make a message sound more informal, expressive, or personally distinctive.

These features suggest that punctuation in Uzbek digital communication should be examined in relation to the wider context of language use. Its interpretation is connected not only to grammatical conventions but also to politeness, social relationships, multilingual practices, and the expressive possibilities of digital platforms. Further research based on Uzbek-language messaging data could provide a more detailed understanding of how punctuation functions across different age groups, communication settings, and interpersonal relationships.

Conclusion

Punctuation in online communication can no longer be understood solely in terms of traditional grammatical rules. Although punctuation continues to organize written language, its role in digital interaction has expanded to include the expression of emotion, the management of interpersonal relationships, and the interpretation of implied meaning.

The period, exclamation mark, and ellipsis illustrate this development particularly clearly. Depending on the context, a period can communicate finality or distance, an exclamation mark can express enthusiasm and warmth, and an ellipsis can suggest hesitation or leave room for an unspoken meaning. These interpretations are not universal, but they demonstrate how readers draw on visual details when making sense of digital messages.

The same process can be observed in Uzbek-language online communication, where punctuation interacts with abbreviations, emojis, code-switching, and culturally shaped expectations of politeness. These practices show that digital language is not simply a less formal version of conventional writing. It is a flexible communicative environment in which users adapt familiar linguistic resources to meet new social and technological needs.

For this reason, variations in online punctuation should not automatically be regarded as grammatical errors or evidence of declining literacy. In many contexts, they represent meaningful adaptations to the conditions of computer-mediated communication. Studying these practices can help explain how language changes across generations, how digital communities develop shared conventions, and how people communicate emotions and social intentions through written text.

References

1. Mustafayev, Shaxboz. "Ilm-fan va innovatsiya" respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi, 2026. Vol. 4, Issue 16, 17-18-betlar. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19017237>.
2. Mustafayev, Shaxboz Baxtiyorovich (2025). Italian va o'zbek tillarida rasmiy va norasmiy virtual muloqotdagi leksik va stilistik farqlar. "Italian tilini xorijiy til sifatida o'qitishning dolzarb masalalari va yechimlari" mavzusidagi xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy anjuman, 180-183. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17384921>
3. Mustafaev, Shakhboz; Fayzullayeva, Iroda (2023). Communicative behaviour as a problem of intercultural communication. *Galaxy international interdisciplinary research journal (giirj)*, 582-588. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21473214>
4. Androutsopoulos, J., & Busch, F. (2021). Digital punctuation as an interactional resource: the message-final period among German adolescents. *Linguistics and Education*, 62, 100871..
5. Crystal, D. (2006). *Language and the Internet* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press..
6. Gunraj, D. N., Drumm-Hewitt, A. M., Dashow, E. M., Upadhyay, S. S. N., & Klin, C. M. (2016). Texting insincerely: The role of the period in text messaging. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, 1067-1075..
7. Herring, S. C., Stein, D., & Virtanen, T. (Eds.). (2013). *Pragmatics of Computer-Mediated Communication*. De Gruyter..