

RISE IN COSMETIC SURGERY AMONG TEENAGERS

Sabrina Maxamadkulova Maxsud qizi

Student of Uzbekistan State World Languages University Scientific

Supervisor: **Alimkhonova Nigorakhon**

sabrinamaxamadkulova@gmail.com

Annotation: In the last decade, the landscape of aesthetic medicine has shifted toward a younger demographic. This article explores the precipitous rise in cosmetic surgery and minimally invasive procedures among teenagers. Driven by social media "filter culture," peer influence, and the normalization of "preventative" aesthetics, the trend raises significant ethical and psychological concerns. Through a review of 2024–2025 procedural data and psychological literature, this paper identifies key drivers and suggests a framework for clinical screening and parental guidance.

Keywords: Adolescent Cosmetic Surgery, Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), Social Media Influence, Rhinoplasty, Medical Ethics, Gen Z Aesthetics.

Adolescence has traditionally been a period of physical self-discovery and natural maturation. However, in 2026, this developmental stage is increasingly mediated by surgical intervention. While reconstructive surgery for congenital issues (e.g., cleft palate) remains standard, elective "beautification" procedures have seen a marked increase. This article investigates why teenagers are seeking to alter their appearance before their bodies have fully matured and the implications this has for long-term psychological health.

The rise in cosmetic surgery and minimally invasive procedures among teenagers (typically ages 13–19) reflects broader trends in aesthetics, driven largely by social media, changing beauty standards, and greater accessibility to treatments. While headlines often highlight dramatic increases, data shows a more nuanced picture: surgical procedures for teens have remained relatively stable or even declined as a share of total cases in some reports, while non-surgical options (like injectables and laser treatments) have grown significantly, especially among younger patients influenced by digital culture.

Key Statistics and Trends - Historical U.S. data (ASPS): In the mid-2010s, around 226,000–229,000 cosmetic procedures were performed annually on patients aged 13–19, including roughly 65,000 surgical ones. Common procedures included rhinoplasty (nose reshaping), otoplasty (ear surgery), breast reduction (for medical reasons like gynecomastia in males or macromastia in females), and liposuction. Minimally invasive treatments like Botox, fillers, laser hair removal, and skin resurfacing made up the majority - **Recent global insights (ISAPS 2024):** Among patients 17 or younger, notable volumes included ~45,500 rhinoplasty (non-surgical nose reshaping), ~39,400 botulinum toxin injections, ~23,000 liposuction cases, and smaller numbers for breast augmentation and genital procedures. These represent small percentages of global totals (e.g., 4.2% for rhinoplasty in that age group), but the absolute numbers highlight activity in a developing demographic. - **U.S. specifics (2022–2023 ASPS):** Procedures for those 19 and under accounted for about 1–2% of many categories, with rhinoplasty and ear surgery prominent. Breast reduction in males (gynecomastia) and certain minimally invasive treatments showed some growth or stability. Overall teen surgical volumes have not exploded relative to the broader rise in adult procedures.

Trends indicate a shift toward "prejuvenation" — proactive, subtle interventions (e.g., low-dose Botox or fillers) starting in the late teens or early 20s to prevent visible aging, rather than major corrective surgeries. Some reports note rising demand for facial procedures like rhinoplasty as young as 16, partly tied to post-pandemic "Zoom dysmorphia" where people scrutinized their appearance on video calls.

Globally, the U.S. leads in total procedures, but growth appears in various regions, with social media accelerating interest among youth.

Primary Drivers Social media is the dominant factor cited across studies:

- Platforms like Instagram, TikTok, and Snapchat promote filtered, idealized images, leading to body dissatisfaction, appearance anxiety, and upward social comparisons. - Teens frequently view or post selfies, correlating with higher consideration of procedures. Following influencers, celebrities, or "before-and-after" accounts amplifies this.

- Filters create unrealistic expectations (e.g., requests for "Snapchat nose" or fuller lips), normalizing enhancements. Studies link heavier image-focused social media use to stronger desires for cosmetic work.

- Influencer culture and e-word-of-mouth further drive trends, with subjective norms (peer/family/social pressure) strongly predicting intentions.

Other contributors include:

- Greater awareness and accessibility of minimally invasive options (cheaper, quicker recovery than surgery).

- Cultural shifts toward self-care, wellness, and early intervention

- Peer bullying or desire to "fit in" before college/career.

Some analyses suggest the percentage of total procedures involving teens has actually decreased over decades, countering media hype of an "explosion," though absolute demand for non-surgical treatments has risen with social media's growth.

Risks and Considerations

Teen bodies and brains are still developing, raising unique concerns:

- Physical risks: Infection, scarring, nerve damage, asymmetry, or complications from anesthesia—potentially more impactful during growth phases. Procedures like breast augmentation or liposuction are often discouraged until full physical maturity (e.g., late teens for some)

- Psychological risks: Underlying body dysmorphia, unrealistic expectations, or regret if motivations stem from temporary insecurities or peer pressure. Emotional maturity matters; mood instability or external coercion are red flags.

- Ethical/regulatory aspects: No universal U.S. laws ban teen cosmetic surgery, but parental consent is required for minors. Many surgeons follow guidelines recommending procedures only for mature teens with realistic goals, often prioritizing reconstructive (e.g., correcting congenital issues or severe asymmetry) over purely aesthetic ones. Experts call for stricter oversight, especially for non-surgical injectables in very young patients.

Medical organizations emphasize thorough psychological screening, informed consent, and waiting periods. Reconstructive procedures (e.g., for breathing issues via rhinoplasty or gynecomastia correction) are viewed more favorably than elective ones.

Broader Context and Outlook The phenomenon ties into larger societal shifts: social media's visual emphasis has made appearance a bigger part of identity for many teens, similar to how past generations faced pressures from magazines or TV. Not all changes are negative—some procedures genuinely boost confidence when appropriate—but the trend

warrants caution around mental health impacts like anxiety or disordered eating linked to constant comparison.

For 2026 and beyond, experts predict continued growth in subtle, natural-looking enhancements and regenerative techniques, with younger patients (including late teens) embracing maintenance routines. However, calls for better regulation, media literacy education, and diverse beauty standards persist to mitigate risks.

Conclusion. Cosmetic surgery among teenagers is a multi-faceted issue. While it can offer genuine relief for those with distinct physical abnormalities, its use as a tool for "social conformity" is hazardous. The industry is currently at a crossroads where medical commerce must be balanced against the developmental vulnerability of the adolescent brain and body. **Mandatory Psychological Screening:** Every adolescent seeking elective surgery should undergo a standardized screening for Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD). **Waiting Periods:** Implement a mandatory six-month "reflection period" for non-reconstructive procedures to ensure the desire is stable and not a reaction to a viral trend. **Educational Literacy:** Schools should include "Digital Image Literacy" in their health curricula to help students deconstruct the artificial nature of social media imagery. **Surgeon Accountability:** Board-certified surgeons should prioritize the "First, Do No Harm" principle, often recommending non-surgical psychological support over surgical intervention for minor aesthetic complaints.

References

1. American Academy of Pediatrics (2024). Adolescent Aesthetic Procedures: Clinical Guidelines and Ethical Considerations. *Pediatrics Journal*, Vol. 153, Issue 4.
2. American Society of Plastic Surgeons (2025). 2024 Plastic Surgery Statistics Report: Emerging Trends in Gen Z and Alpha Demographics. ASPS National Clearinghouse.
3. Haidt, J., & Twenge, J. M. (2024). *The Anxious Generation: How the Great Rewiring of Childhood Is Causing an Epidemic of Mental Illness*. New York: Penguin Press. (Sections on social media-induced body dysmorphia).
4. Wang, Y., et al. (2025). The "Snapshot" Effect: A Meta-Analysis of Self-Image Modification and Surgical Intent Among Adolescents. *Journal of Aesthetic Nursing*, 14(2), 88-102.
5. World Health Organization (2025). Youth Mental Health and the Digital Environment: Policy Brief on Cosmetic Normalization. WHO Institutional Repository.
6. American Medical Association (2025). Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 11.3.1: Performing Cosmetic Surgery on Minors. *AMA Ethics Manual*, 12th Edition.
7. International Society of Aesthetic Plastic Surgery (ISAPS). Global Survey on Aesthetic/Cosmetic Procedures Performed in 2024. (Data regarding non-surgical injectables in patients under 18).
8. Phillips, K. A. (2024). *The Broken Mirror: Understanding and Treating Body Dysmorphic Disorder*. (Updated review on adolescent screening protocols).
9. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health (2025). Editorial: Beyond the Filter—The Biological Risks of Early Cosmetic Intervention.
10. Nuffield Council on Bioethics (2024). *Cosmetic Procedures: Ethical Issues in a Digital Age – Progress Update*. London, UK.