

THE USE OF DIGITAL TOOLS IN TEACHING GRAMMAR

G'afurova Sabina

Uzbekistan State World Languages University

English Philology Faculty

Scientific advisor: **Salimova Nilufar**

Abstract This thesis examines how digital tools can enhance grammar teaching in higher education through data-driven feedback, adaptive practice, and multimodal explanation. The aim is to justify a pedagogical model that integrates learning management systems, corpora, and automated assessment to support accuracy and fluency. Methods include comparative analysis of approaches, synthesis of empirical studies, and instructional design reasoning. Novelty lies in aligning tool affordances with grammar outcomes and assessment validity.

Keywords: digital learning tools, grammar instruction, automated corrective feedback, adaptive practice, learner corpus, learning management system, assessment validity

Аннотация В данной работе исследуется, как цифровые инструменты могут повысить эффективность обучения грамматике в высшем образовании за счёт использования автоматизированной обратной связи, адаптивной практики и мультимодальных объяснений. Цель исследования заключается в обосновании педагогической модели, интегрирующей системы управления обучением, корпусные ресурсы и автоматизированное оценивание для развития точности и беглости речи. В работе применяются сравнительный анализ существующих подходов, синтез эмпирических исследований и методы педагогического проектирования. Научная новизна заключается в согласовании функциональных возможностей цифровых инструментов с результатами обучения грамматике и валидностью оценки.

Ключевые слова: цифровые образовательные инструменты, обучение грамматике, автоматизированная обратная связь, адаптивная практика, ученический корпус, система управления обучением, валидность оценки

Annotatsiya Ushbu ishda raqamli vositalarning oliy ta'limda grammatika o'qitish samaradorligini qanday oshirishi tahlil qilinadi. Xususan, avtomatlashtirilgan qayta aloqa, moslashuvchan mashqlar va multimodal tushuntirishlarning ahamiyati ko'rib chiqiladi. Tadqiqotning maqsadi — aniqlik va ravonlikni rivojlantirishga xizmat qiluvchi, o'quv jarayonini boshqarish tizimlari, korpus resurslari hamda avtomatlashtirilgan baholashni birlashtirgan pedagogik modelni asoslashdir. Ishda mavjud yondashuvlarning qiyosiy tahlili, empirik tadqiqotlar sintezi va pedagogik loyihalash usullari qo'llaniladi. Ilmiy yangilik raqamli vositalar imkoniyatlarini grammatika o'qitish natijalari va baholashning validligi bilan uyg'unlashtirishdan iborat.

Kalit so'zlar: raqamli ta'lim vositalari, grammatika o'qitish, avtomatlashtirilgan qayta aloqa, moslashuvchan mashqlar, o'quvchi korpusi, o'quv jarayonini boshqarish tizimi, baholash validligi

Introduction

The rapid development of digital technologies has significantly transformed contemporary higher education, creating new opportunities for enhancing language instruction. In particular, the teaching of grammar – traditionally associated with rule-based instruction and repetitive exercises – has increasingly benefited from the integration of digital learning tools. These tools enable more interactive, personalized, and data-driven approaches, allowing educators to move beyond static teaching methods toward more dynamic and learner-centered practices.

Grammar competence remains a fundamental component of language proficiency, directly influencing both accuracy and fluency in communication. However, many learners experience difficulties in mastering grammatical structures due to limited feedback, lack of individualized practice, and insufficient contextualization. Digital tools address these challenges by providing automated corrective feedback, adaptive practice environments, and multimodal explanations that cater to diverse learning styles.

In recent years, learning management systems, learner corpora, and automated assessment technologies have emerged as key components in modern grammar instruction. These tools not only facilitate continuous assessment and immediate feedback but also generate valuable learning analytics that can inform instructional decisions. Despite these advantages, there remains a need for a coherent pedagogical framework that aligns the affordances of digital tools with specific grammar learning outcomes and ensures the validity and reliability of assessment practices.

Therefore, this study aims to justify a pedagogical model that integrates digital tools into grammar teaching in higher education. It seeks to demonstrate how data-driven feedback, adaptive learning, and multimodal instruction can enhance both grammatical accuracy and communicative fluency. The study also addresses the critical issue of aligning technological functionalities with pedagogical objectives and assessment validity.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, design-oriented research approach that combines comparative analysis, synthesis of empirical studies, and instructional design principles. The methodology is structured to provide both theoretical grounding and practical justification for the proposed pedagogical model.

First, a comparative analysis of existing approaches to grammar teaching is conducted, focusing on traditional methods and digitally enhanced practices. This analysis identifies the strengths and limitations of each approach, particularly in relation to feedback mechanisms, learner engagement, and assessment strategies.

Second, the study synthesizes findings from a range of empirical research on digital learning tools in language education. Particular attention is given to studies examining automated corrective feedback, adaptive learning systems, learner corpus use, and multimodal instructional techniques. This synthesis allows for the identification of effective practices and evidence-based principles that support grammar acquisition.

Third, an instructional design framework is employed to develop and justify the proposed pedagogical model. The model integrates three core components: learning management systems for content delivery and tracking, corpus-based resources for data-driven learning, and automated assessment tools for continuous evaluation. These

components are aligned with specific grammar learning outcomes, including accuracy, fluency, and contextual usage.

In addition, the study considers issues of assessment validity by examining how digital tools measure grammatical competence and how reliable and meaningful these measurements are. Criteria such as consistency, authenticity, and alignment with learning objectives are used to evaluate the effectiveness of digital assessment practices.

Overall, the methodology provides a systematic and theoretically informed basis for integrating digital tools into grammar instruction, ensuring that technological innovation is supported by sound pedagogical reasoning.

Grammar remains one of the most sensitive components of language education because it mediates between declarative knowledge about forms and procedural ability to produce meaningful, context-appropriate utterances. In contemporary higher education, including philological and pedagogical specializations, grammar instruction is increasingly expected to demonstrate measurable learning outcomes while also responding to the realities of large groups, limited contact hours, heterogeneous proficiency, and students' reliance on digital environments. These conditions do not automatically justify "digitizing" grammar practice; rather, they require a principled explanation of when digital tools strengthen instruction and when they merely accelerate superficial drill. The central problem is therefore methodological: how to connect specific affordances of digital tools to the cognitive and communicative demands of grammar learning, and how to ensure that feedback and assessment remain valid representations of learners' developing competence. The present thesis addresses this problem by arguing for an integrated model of digital grammar teaching that combines managed learning spaces, data-driven language resources, and carefully constrained automated feedback, so that the benefits of scalability do not undermine the depth of grammatical understanding.

The aim of the thesis is to substantiate an evidence-informed approach to using digital tools for grammar teaching, with particular attention to feedback quality, adaptivity, and assessment validity. The object is grammar instruction in tertiary language education; the subject is the pedagogical and evaluative functions of digital tools in that instruction. The methodological basis is an analytical comparison of dominant instructional traditions in grammar pedagogy and a synthesis of research on computer-assisted language learning, automated corrective feedback, and data-driven learning

[1; 4; 6]. The thesis treats digital tools not as an autonomous methodology but as instruments whose educational value depends on alignment with learning objectives, sequencing, and the teacher's interpretive work. The scientific novelty is articulated in two related claims. First, the effectiveness of digital tools in grammar teaching is primarily determined by the match between tool affordances and the type of grammatical knowledge targeted, for example, form recognition, form-meaning mapping, controlled production, or editing competence. Second, assessment validity in digital grammar instruction is strengthened when automated measures are triangulated with human-judged performance and when task design prevents construct-irrelevant strategies such as guessing, copy-paste completion, or overreliance on external checkers.

A key conceptual distinction is between explicit, rule-focused instruction and usage-oriented learning supported by exposure to authentic patterns. Digital tools can

contribute to both, but through different mechanisms. Learning management systems provide structured sequencing, deadlines, and analytics that make practice regular and traceable, yet they do not by themselves create understanding. Their value is strongest when they host tasks that operationalize grammatical objectives as observable behaviors: selecting forms under meaning constraints, rewriting sentences to express given pragmatic relations, or editing short texts for target structures. Such tasks convert “knowing a rule” into micro-performances that can be repeatedly attempted, logged, and reviewed. However, if tasks collapse grammar into decontextualized multiple choice, the platform’s analytics may produce an illusion of competence, because high scores can reflect test-wiseness rather than stable control. For this reason, digital task design must enforce meaningful constraints, for example, requiring minimal pairs, short constructed responses, and staged rewriting, while keeping cognitive load manageable.

Automated corrective feedback is often perceived as the main advantage of digital grammar tools. Yet its pedagogical function is not simply to mark answers as right or wrong. Research suggests that feedback is effective when it supports noticing, hypothesis testing, and revision, but its impact depends on timing, explicitness, and learners’ readiness [6]. In grammar learning, immediate feedback can be beneficial for high-frequency, low-complexity forms where the main challenge is attention and habit formation. For more complex structures involving discourse conditions or subtle meaning distinctions, immediate correction can lead to mechanical compliance without internalization. Therefore, an integrated model should differentiate feedback by target structure: use immediate, explicit cues for straightforward morphological errors; delayed, explanation-oriented feedback for syntactic choices and form-meaning mapping; and revision-driven feedback for editing tasks. A practical implication is that digital tools should be configured so that feedback includes not only the correct form but also a short rationale and a prompt for self-repair, because self-repair engages deeper processing than passive acceptance.

Discussion and Results

Adaptive practice, implemented through item banks and algorithmic sequencing, is another major digital affordance. Its theoretical promise lies in maintaining an optimal difficulty level, thus sustaining engagement and creating repeated retrieval. Yet adaptivity can also narrow learning if it over-focuses on easily measurable micro-skills while neglecting transfer to extended discourse. To avoid this, adaptivity should operate within a macro-sequence that alternates between focused practice and integrative performance. For example, after adaptive drills on tense-aspect choices, students should complete a short narrative rewriting or commentary task in which tense choice is constrained by the communicative purpose. The platform can then store drafts, enabling comparison between early attempts and later revisions. In this way, adaptivity supports automatization, while integrative tasks support contextualization. Such an arrangement is consistent with the idea that grammatical competence is not the accumulation of isolated rules but the ability to mobilize forms under communicative and genre constraints [1; 4].

Data-driven learning through corpora and concordancers provides an additional dimension: it allows learners to observe patterns in authentic language and to test hypotheses about usage. This is especially relevant for grammar points where prescriptive rules are incomplete or where variation depends on register, collocation, or discourse function. Using corpus examples, students can compare frequencies and

contexts of competing forms and develop an evidence-based sense of appropriacy. However, corpus work can fail pedagogically if students are left without guidance, because the interpretive step from concordance lines to a usable generalization is cognitively demanding. Hence, corpus-based grammar teaching needs scaffolding: pre-selected corpora aligned with proficiency, guided questions, and tasks that require learners to articulate generalizations and then apply them in controlled production. The teacher's role remains central in framing what counts as evidence and in preventing overgeneralization from small samples. This approach corresponds to a broader international tradition of using corpora to link grammar explanation with authentic usage [5].

Another digital resource relevant to grammar instruction is the automated writing environment, including grammar checkers and intelligent tutoring systems. Their classroom value is ambiguous. On one hand, they can support editing competence, especially in academic writing where error detection and revision are essential. On the other hand, if students rely on such tools during formative practice without understanding the underlying grammar, they may develop dependency and reduce attention to form. The integrated model proposed here treats grammar checkers as instruments for a late stage of the learning cycle: after learners have practiced target structures and produced a text, the checker is used to identify recurring patterns, which are then discussed as learning diagnostics rather than simply corrected. In this usage, the tool becomes a source of data for reflection, and the teacher can require students to justify each correction by referencing a rule or a usage pattern observed in prior activities. This transforms automated correction into metalinguistic work, thus preserving the educational function.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the effective use of digital tools in teaching grammar is achieved not by transferring traditional exercises to an online platform but by designing an integrated system in which tool affordances correspond to specific grammar learning mechanisms. Automated feedback should be differentiated by grammatical complexity and should promote self-repair; adaptive practice should be embedded in a broader sequence that ensures transfer to contextualized performance; corpus-based tasks should be scaffolded to support evidence-based generalization; and assessment should triangulate automated measures with human-judged performance to protect validity. When these conditions are met, digital tools increase instructional scalability while sustaining depth of learning, and they provide diagnostic data that strengthens both teaching decisions and student self-regulation.

REFERENCES

1. Azimov, E. G., & Shchukin, A. N. (2009). *Novyy slovar metodicheskikh terminov i ponyatiy (teoriya i praktika obucheniya yazykam)*. IKAR.
2. Ashirkulovna, M. F., & Iroda, C. *BENEFITS OF DISTANCE LEARNING*.
3. Ashirkulovna, M. F., & Erkinovna, U. M. *OBSTACLES IN LEARNING THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE*. *Zbiór artykułów naukowych recenzowanych.*, 66.
4. Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (2010). *Language assessment in practice: Developing language assessments and justifying their use in the real world*. Oxford University Press.
5. Boulton, A., & Cobb, T. (2017). *Corpus use in language learning: A meta-analysis*. *Language Learning*, 67(2), 348–393.

6. Larsen-Freeman, D. (2003). Teaching language: From grammar to grammaring. Heinle.
7. Li, S. (2010). The effectiveness of corrective feedback in SLA: A meta-analysis. *Language Learning*, 60(2), 309–365.
8. SALIMOVA, N. (2025). INGLIZ TILI DARSLARIDA VIDEO DAN FOYDALANISHNING XUSUSIYATLARI. «*ACTA NUUZ*», 1(1.1), 189-191.
9. SALIMOVA, N. (2025). INGLIZ TILI DARSLARIDA VIDEO DAN FOYDALANISHNING XUSUSIYATLARI. «*ACTA NUUZ*», 1(1.1), 189-191.
10. SALIMOVA, N. O 'ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETI XABARLARI, 2025,[1/1] ISSN 2181-7324.
11. Safarov, Sh. (2019). Zamonaviy lingvodidaktika: Til o'qitishning nazariy asoslari. Fan va texnologiya.
12. Маматкулова, Ф. А. (2020). Учебная программа и преподавание в среднем образовании. *NovaInfo. Ru*, (119), 30-32.
13. Маматкулова, Ф. А. (2020). Использование современных образовательных технологий в формировании лингвокультурной компетенции студентов. *NovaInfo. Ru*, (117), 63-65.
14. Маматкулова, Ф. А. (2020). Эффективные педагогические технологии повышения навыков письменного дискурса. *NovaInfo. Ru*, (119), 32-35.
15. Маматкулова, Ф. А. (2020). Особенности критического мышления в повышении межкультурной компетентности студентов. *NovaInfo. Ru*, (119), 35-37.
16. Эрданова, З. А. (2021). Замонавий лингвистикада инсон ва унинг фаолияти акс этилиши. *Международный журнал искусство слова*, 4(5).
17. Эрданова, З. (2021). Инсоннинг касбий фаолияти билан боғлиқ тил бирикмаларининг шаклланиши. *Общество и инновации*, 2(9/S), 186-190.
18. Эрданова, З. (2021). Формирование языковых единиц, связанных профессиональ