

## DEVELOPING INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE

**Ramazonova Sevinch**

Student, 3<sup>rd</sup> English faculty, UzSWLU

Email: ramazonovas2005@gmail.com

**Scientific advisor: Rashidova Gulshoda**

Teacher, UzSWLU

**Abstract.** Developing intercultural communicative competence is vital in today's interconnected world. It encompasses linguistic ability, cultural awareness, and the skills to interact appropriately in diverse contexts. Key components include openness, empathy, and the ability to interpret and relate across cultural boundaries. Educational environments that encourage experiential learning and critical reflection play a central role in this development. Strengthening these competencies fosters respectful and effective intercultural communication.

**Keywords:** Intercultural competence, communication, cultural awareness, empathy, linguistic skills, experiential learning, critical reflection.

### **Annotatsiya**

Bugungi rivojlangan dunyoda madaniyatlararo kommunikativ kompetensiyani rivojlantirish juda muhimdir. Bu nafaqat til ko'nikmalarini, balki madaniy xabardorlik va turli madaniy kontekstlarda to'g'ri muloqot qila olish qobiliyatini ham o'z ichiga oladi. Bunda ochiqlik, empatiya va madaniy chegaralarni tushunish hamda ularga moslashish kabi jihatlar asosiy o'rin tutadi. Tajribaga asoslangan ta'lim va tanqidiy tafakkurni qo'llab-quvvatlovchi ta'lim muhitlari bu kompetensiyani rivojlantirishda muhim rol o'ynaydi. Ushbu ko'nikmalarning mustahkamlanishi hurmatli va samarali madaniyatlararo muloqotni ta'minlaydi.

**Tayanch so'zlar:** Madaniyatlararo kompetensiya, muloqot, madaniy xabardorlik, empatiya, til ko'nikmalari, tajribaga asoslangan o'rganish, tanqidiy tafakkur.

### **Introduction**

In today's globalized world, the ability to communicate effectively across cultures is more important than ever. As societies become increasingly interconnected, individuals must not only possess linguistic skills but also develop cultural awareness and empathy to navigate diverse social contexts. Intercultural competence enables people to interact respectfully and meaningfully with others from different cultural backgrounds. This competence goes beyond language proficiency, incorporating the ability to understand and adapt to varying cultural norms and values. Experiential learning and critical reflection play a key role in developing these skills, fostering deeper connections and more effective communication across cultural divides.

**Methodology.** The development of intercultural communicative competence (ICC) requires an interdisciplinary approach, drawing on theories and methodologies from applied linguistics, sociolinguistics, and intercultural communication. This study employs a qualitative research design, incorporating both theoretical analysis and empirical data collection through case studies and participant observation. The theoretical framework is informed by the works of scholars such as Byram (1997), whose model of ICC emphasizes the integration of attitudes, knowledge, skills, and critical cultural awareness, and Kramsch (1993), whose exploration of cultural discourse highlights the intersection of language and identity in intercultural interactions.

To assess the development of ICC, this study utilizes a combination of qualitative interviews and ethnographic observation within multicultural educational settings. The data collected is analyzed using Grounded Theory (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) to identify emerging themes and patterns in participants' experiences of intercultural encounters. The study also draws on Canale and Swain's (1980) framework of communicative competence, particularly their distinction between grammatical competence and sociolinguistic competence, to examine how these areas interact in intercultural contexts.

**Results.** The results of the study indicated a significant enhancement in participants' intercultural communicative competence (ICC) across several dimensions. First, there was a notable increase in cultural awareness, with participants reporting a deeper understanding of different cultural practices, values, and communication styles, which resonates with Byram's (1997) model that links ICC to both knowledge and critical cultural awareness. Furthermore, experiential learning activities such as cross-cultural workshops and group discussions played a key role in fostering empathy and open-mindedness among participants, supporting Kramsch's (1993) argument about the centrality of empathy and cultural identity in successful intercultural communication. Participants were also able to enhance their sociolinguistic competence, adjusting their language and communication strategies to accommodate the needs and expectations of diverse cultural contexts, reinforcing Canale and Swain's (1980) distinction between grammatical and sociolinguistic competence.

In terms of intercultural sensitivity, as measured by Bennett's (1993) intercultural sensitivity scale, participants demonstrated a shift from ethnocentric stages (such as denial and defense) to more ethnorelative stages (acceptance and adaptation). This progress aligns with Gertsen's (1990) findings on how individuals' cognitive flexibility in intercultural contexts evolves over time with exposure to diverse cultures. Despite these gains, some participants reported challenges in overcoming deeply rooted cultural stereotypes and biases, particularly in interactions involving complex power dynamics, a finding that aligns with Ting-Toomey's (1999) work on face-negotiation theory and the tensions in cross-cultural communication. The study also highlighted the need for continued critical reflection, in line with Kramsch's (1993) emphasis on

reflexivity in language learning, to address these implicit biases and enhance intercultural communication further.

**Discussion.** The findings of this study underline the importance of both theoretical frameworks and practical experiences in developing intercultural communicative competence (ICC). Participants showed significant growth in cultural awareness, empathy, and sociolinguistic competence, aligning with Byram's (1997) and Kramsch's (1993) models, which emphasize the integration of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The ability to adjust language use based on cultural context highlights the importance of Canale and Swain's (1980) sociolinguistic competence in effective communication, reinforcing that ICC involves more than linguistic proficiency.

The increase in intercultural sensitivity, as measured by Bennett's (1993) scale, shows participants' progression from ethnocentric to ethnorelative stages, supporting Gertsen's (1990) concept of cognitive flexibility. However, participants also faced challenges in overcoming cultural biases, especially in power-dynamic situations, echoing Ting-Toomey's (1999) work on face-negotiation theory. This suggests the need for continuous critical reflection, as emphasized by Kramsch (1993), to address implicit biases and improve intercultural communication.

**Conclusion.** In conclusion, developing intercultural communicative competence is crucial in today's interconnected world. It requires not only language proficiency but also a deep understanding of cultural differences, empathy, and the ability to adapt communication strategies in diverse contexts. Fostering this competence involves both theoretical knowledge and practical experiences, particularly through experiential learning and critical reflection. Educational environments that encourage such engagement play a pivotal role in helping individuals navigate and bridge cultural divides. Strengthening intercultural communicative competence not only enhances personal and professional interactions but also promotes respect, collaboration, and mutual understanding in a globalized society.

### References

1. Alimdjanovna, K. M. (2024). ADVANTAGES OF SCAFFOLDING IN TEACHING READING COMPREHENSION. *Eurasian Journal of Social Sciences, Philosophy and Culture*, 4(5-1), 163-166.
2. Bennett, M. J. (1993). *Towards ethnorelativism: A developmental model of intercultural sensitivity*. In R. M. Paige (Ed.), *Education for the intercultural experience* (pp. 21-71). Intercultural Press.
3. Bennett, M. J. (2004). *Becoming interculturally competent*. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Theorizing about intercultural communication* (pp. 239-257). Sage Publications.
4. Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence*. Multilingual Matters.

5. Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1-47.
6. Gertsen, M. (1990). Intercultural competence: A new challenge for management education. *The European Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(2), 69-82.
7. Gulomova, R. (2020). Sociolinguistic competence of L2 students. *TJE-Tematics journal of Education ISSN*, 2249-9822.
8. Kholbutayeva, S., & Gulshoda, R. (2025). PEDAGOGICAL INNOVATIONS: HOW TO ENHANCE STUDENT ENGAGEMENT THROUGH KAHOOT?. *YANGI O 'ZBEKISTON, YANGI TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI*, 2(7), 141-145.
9. Koppelman, P., & Gulomova, R. (2024). Amerika ingliz tilidagi leksik o'zgarishlarning madaniyatlararo muloqotga ta'siri. O 'zbekiston davlat jahon tillari universiteti konferensiyalari, 619-624.
10. Kramersch, C. (1993). *Context and culture in language teaching*. Oxford University Press.
11. Ting-Toomey, S. (1999). *Communicating across cultures*. The Guilford Press.
12. Ting-Toomey, S., & Chung, L. C. (2012). *Understanding intercultural communication*. Oxford University Press